# **INTERNATIONAL TRADE - ECON 245**





# BRIEF HISTORY OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE THEORY

- 1830-1980: Neoclassical Trade Theory
  - Ricardo; Heckscher-Ohlin-Samuelson
- 1980-2000: New Trade Theory (Krugman's Nobel Prize)
  - Krugman-Helpman; Brander-Krugman; Grossman Helpman
- > 2000- : New New Trade Theory
  - Eaton-Kortum; Melitz; Arkolakis Costinot Rodriguez-Clare

# **BRIEF HISTORY OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE EMPIRICS**

- 1830-1990: Not much!
- 1990-2000: Empirical Tests of Heckscher-Ohlin and Ricardian Ideas
  - Leamer; Trefler; Davis-Weinstein
- 2000-2015: Firms
  - Bernard-Jensen; Tybout; Eaton-Kortum-Kramarz
- **Regional Impacts** 
  - Autor Dorn Hanson; Burstein Vogel; Feenstra Hanson; You?

2015-onwards: Distributional Consequences of Trade Liberalization, Service Trade, and

## WHAT IS TRADE AND SPATIAL ECONOMICS

- What is special about these fields is study of hierarchical market structure.
  - Global output markets + Regional factor markets
- Output: enters utility function, elastic supply+demand, freely traded
- Factors: affect budget constraint, fixed supply, not traded
- Our interest:
  - How does market integration affect goods+services prices?
  - How do changing output prices affect factor prices, allocation, spatial organization, and welfare?



## SIDENOTE: PAPERS DIFFERENTIATING TRADABLE+NONTRADABLE INDUSTRIES

- Mian and Sufi: "What Explains the 2007-2009 Drop in Employment?", ECMA
- Burstein, Hanson, Tian, Vogel "Tradability and the Labor Market Impact of Immigration", ECMA
- Autor Dorn "The Growth of Low-Skill Service Jobs and the Polarization of the US Labor Market", AER
- Blinder Krueger "Alternative Measures of Offshorability: A Survey Approach", JLE
- Althoff, Eckert, Ganapati, Walsh: "The City Paradox", Working Paper



# FROM TRADE



## THE GAINS FROM TRADE

- Start with the broadest normative question:
  - When and why are there potential gains from free trade?
  - Are the potential gains realized in the market place?
    - Do all countries/individuals share in them?
- We compare free trade and autarky comparing theoretical extremes to make the point.

## THE BASIC ASSUMPTIONS

- Neoclassical Trade Models are characterized by three key assumptions
  - Perfect Competition
  - Constant Returns to Scale
  - Identical Households with homothetic preferences
- Under these assumptions can derive:
  - Gains from Trade (Samuelson 1939)
  - Law of Comparative Advantage (Deardorff 1980)

## ECON 245 — WINTER 2021

## GAINS FROM TRADE FOR A SMALL COUNTRY



## SMALL COUNTRY = INTERNATIONAL PRICES REMAIN FIXED AT ANY LEVEL OF TRADE

## FREE TRADE IS SIMILAR TO TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRESS

# **HOW GENERAL IS THIS POINT?**

- Profit Maximization implies MRT = p\*
- $\land X^a = C^a$  lies inside hyperplane formed by price line
  - General revealed preference argument:  $C^{f} > C^{a}$ 
    - Does not depend on number of goods and factors
    - ▶ Does require  $p^* \neq p^a$
- A large country cannot trade all it wants at fixed TOT
  - Need concept of offer curve: locus of all possible price-quantity pairs

## FORMALIZATION: BASIC ENVIRONMENT

- Countries:n = 1, ..., N; Households  $h = 1, ..., H^n$
- There are g = 1, ..., G goods:
  - Output vector in country  $n: y^n \equiv (y_1^n, \dots, y_G^n)$
  - Consumption vector of h in n:  $c^{nh} \equiv (c_1^{nh}, ..., c_G^{nh})$
  - Good price vector in  $n: p^N = (p_1^n, \dots, p_G^n)$
- There are f = 1, ..., F factors:
  - Endowment vector of country  $n: v^n = (v_1^n, \dots, v_1^F)$
  - Factor price vector in country  $n: w^n \equiv (w_1^n, \dots, w_F^n)$

## SUPPLY

- $\triangleright$  Denote the set of combinations (y, v) feasible in country n by  $\Omega^n$
- Revenue function in country n:

 $r^{n} \equiv \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left( p\mathbf{y} \mid (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}) \in \Omega^{n} \right)$ 

- **Comments:** 
  - Revenue function summarizes all relevant properties of technology
  - Under perfect competition y<sup>n</sup> maximizes the value of output in country n

 $r^n(p^n, v^n) = p^n y^n$ 

## DEMAND

- Denote by u<sup>nh</sup> the utility function of household h in country n Expenditure function for household h in country n is defined as
- Comments:
  - Factor supply here is fixed; can be made a choice variable
  - ► Holding *p* fixed  $e^{nh}(p, u)$  is increasing in *u*
  - > Household maximization implies in equilibrium:  $e^{nh}(p^n, u^{nh}) = p^n c^{nh}$

 $e^{nh}(p, u) = \min\{pc \mid u^{nh}(c) \ge u\}$ 

## **GAINS FROM TRADE**

- Representative Household for now, drop h superscript.
- In a neoclassical trade model with one household per country, free trade makes all households (weakly) better off.

 $p^f(c^f - y^f) = 0$ 

 $p^f c^f = p^f y^f$  $p^f c^f \ge p^f y^a$  $p^f c^f \ge p^f c^a$ 

## **GAINS FROM TRADE**

- Households provide different types (or combinations) of factors
  - Trade may benefit some and hurt others
- So for free trade to be Pareto improving may need policy instruments
  - Start with domestic lump-sum transfers
- > Denote by  $\tau^h$  the lump-sum transfer from government to household h Can be positive or negative (subsidy or tax)

- autarky in all countries.
- Step 1: For any h, set the lump-sum transfer  $\tau^h$  such that

$$\tau^h = (p - p^a)c^{ah} - (w - w^a)v^h$$

Budget constraint under autarky  $p^a c^{ah} \leq w^a v^h$ . Therefore

$$pc^{ah} \leq$$

In a neoclassical trade model with multiple households per country, there exist domestic lump-sum transfers such that free trade is (weakly) Pareto superior to

 $wv^h + \tau^h$ 



autarky in all countries.

## **Step 2:**

$$-\sum \tau^{h} = (p^{a} - p) \sum c^{ah} - (w^{a} - w) \sum v^{h}$$
$$= (p^{a} - p)y^{a} - (w^{a} - w)v$$
$$= -py^{a} + vw$$
$$\ge -r(p, v) + wv$$
$$= -(py - wv) = 0$$

In a neoclassical trade model with multiple households per country, there exist domestic lump-sum transfers such that free trade is (weakly) Pareto superior to



- Lump-sum taxes, even if just domestic, still very information-intensive.
  - How about commodity taxes and subsidies only?
- Suppose the government can affect prices for factors and goods as follows: phousehold  $= p + \tau$ good whousehold  $= w + \tau$  factor

## **GAINS FROM TRADE <u>FOR EVERYONE?</u>**

• Consider the two following taxes:  $\tau^{good} = p^a - p$   $\tau^{factor} = w^a - w$ 

By construction, household is indifferent between autarky and free trade.

$$-\sum \tau^{h} = \tau^{\operatorname{good}} \sum c^{ah} - \tau^{\operatorname{factor}} \sum v^{h}$$
$$= (p^{a} - p) \sum c^{ah} - (w^{a} - w) \sum v^{h} \ge 0$$

## **GAINS FROM TRADE <u>FOR EVERYONE?</u>**

- So as long as there are production gains from trade; it is Pareto improving.
  - More general public finance insight: don't distort production
  - Trade here is like a new production technology
  - Thus trade should remain free
- Problem: factor taxation still difficult; need to know each household's endowment in efficiency units...?

# COMPARATIVE



## LAW OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE

- So far normative predictions can we make general positive predictions? Yes!
- Comparative Advantage Differences: differences in relative autarky prices (more broadly: relative opportunity costs)
  - Term coined by David Ricardo: father of Ricardian trade theory
  - Many lay people get this wrong and think you should do what you are "best at"
- "Law of Comparative Advantage:"
  - Countries tend to export goods in which they have CA, i.e., lower relative autarky prices compared to other countries.

## DEARDORFF'S "GENERALIZED" LAW OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE

• Let 
$$t^n \equiv (y_1^n - \sum c^{nh}, ..., y_G^n - \sum c^{nh})$$

- Let u<sup>an</sup> and u<sup>n</sup> denote the utility level of the representative household in country n under autarky and free trade
- Let p<sup>an</sup> denote the vector of autarky prices in country n
- Without loss of generality:  $\sum p_g = \sum^{n}$
- Notation  $cor(x, y) = \frac{cov(x, y)}{\sqrt{var(x)var(y)}}$  cov(

h) denote net exports in country n

$$\sum p_g^{an} = 1$$

$$x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) \quad \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

## DEARDORFF'S "GENERALIZED" LAW OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE

- In a neoclassical trade model, if there is a representative household in country n, then  $cor(p - p^a, t^n) \ge 0$
- Since  $(y^n, v^n) \in \Omega^n$  the definition of r implies:  $p^a y^n \leq r(p^a, v^n)$
- Since  $u^n(c^n) = u^n$ , the definition of e implies:  $p^a c^n \ge e(p^a, u^n)$
- The two previous inequalities imply:  $p^{a}t^{n} \leq r(p^{a}, v^{n}) e(p^{a}, u^{n})$
- Since  $u^n \ge u^{an}$ ,  $e(p^a, \cdot)$  increasing implies  $e(p^a, u^n) \ge e(p^a, u^{na})$



## DEARDORFF'S "GENERALIZED" LAW OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE

- ▶ Combining previous inequalities:  $p^{a}t^{n} \leq r(p^{a}, v^{n}) e(p^{a}, u^{na}) = 0$ 
  - by market clearing under autarky
- Balanced trade implies:  $pt^n = 0$  and hence  $(p p^a)t^n \ge 0$
- By definition + rearranging:

$$cov(p - p^a, t^n) = \sum_{g} (p_g - p_g^a - \bar{p} + \bar{p}^a)$$
  
Given prize normalization:

## $^{a})(t_{g}^{n}-\bar{t}^{n})=(p-p^{a})t^{n}-G(\bar{p}-\bar{p}^{a})\bar{t}^{n}$

 $cov(p - p^{a}, t^{n}) = (p - p^{a})t^{n} \ge 0$ 

## **SOURCES OF COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE**

- Countries trade what they have comparative advantage in.
- Potential sources of comparative advantage
  - Technology (+amenity!) differences
  - Home Market Effects
  - Factor Endowment Differences



# **TESTING FOR THE COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE IDEA**

- CA is a fundamental idea in economics yet hard to test!
  - Problem 1: Principle version is too weak to test in real world
  - Problem 2: Measurement problem "law" based on trading behavior at autarky prices
  - Problem 3: Periods of Autarky rarely observed
- Solutions:
  - Put some structure (Deardorff), but P2+3 remain...
  - > Put a lot of structure to learn about "fundamentals" today then simulate autarky.
    - Tests then joint test of CA and structure!

# **TESTING FOR THE COMPARATIVE ADVANTAGE IDEA**

- could test whether:  $p^a$ .  $t \leq 0$ 
  - time
  - Even if, prediction is very low powered
  - and supply features of the economy

If we knew the equilibrium price vector  $p^a$  and the vector t of net export we

Never observed both autarky prices and vector of net exports at the same

BUT if you do observe autarky price it is a summary statistic for all demand

- 1858 and then opened up in 1859
  - Natural Experiment to test for Law of Comparative Advantage
- Other advantages of the setting:
  - Relative simple production technologies at the time
  - Opening up forced upon Japan by...USA

## Bernhofen and Brown exploit that Japan was effectively a closed economy in

## > Almost closed economy in 1858 means $p^a$ is approximately observed.



FIG. 3.—The development of Japan's external trade, 1860–85. Source: Sugiyama (1988, table 3-4).

- Authors observe  $p_{1858}$  and  $t_{1859}$  (or around those years)
- They can hence compute  $p_{1858}$ .  $t_{1859}$  and check its sign!
- This would be a valid test if the following assumptions held:
  - Perfect competition under autarky and when trade (Japan = price taker)
  - No distortions (e.g., export subsidies)
  - Autarky prices from 1858 are the same they would have been in 1859!
    - i.e., technology and tastes are constant



FIG. 4.—Net exports and price changes for 1869. Source: Japan Bureau of Revenue (1893) for trade data and Kinyu Kenkyukai (1937), Miyamoto (1963), Ono (1979), Yamazaki (1983), and Mitsui Bunko (1989) for price data.

TABLE 2 Approximate Inner Product in Various Test Years (Millions of Ryō)								
Components	1868	1869	1870	1871	1872	1873	1874	1875
1. Imports with ob-								
served autarky prices	-2.24	-4.12	-8.44	-7.00	-5.75	-5.88	-7.15	-7.98
2. Imports of woolen								
goods	98	82	-1.29	-1.56	-2.16	-2.50	-1.56	-2.33
3. Imports with approx-								
imated autarky prices								
(Shinbo index)	-1.10	95	70	85	-1.51	-2.08	-1.60	-2.65
4. Exports with ob-								
served autarky prices	4.07	3.40	4.04	5.16	4.99	4.08	5.08	4.80
5. Exports with approx-								
imated autarky prices								
(Shinbo index)	.09	.03	.07	.07	.15	.07	.11	.10
Total inner product								
(sum of rows  1-5)	18	-2.47	-6.31	-4.17	-4.28	-6.31	-5.11	-8.06

10).

NOTE. - All values are expressed in terms of millions of ryo. The ryo equaled about \$1.00 in 1873 and was equivalent to the yen when it was introduced in 1871. The estimates are of the approximation of the inner product  $(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^a \tilde{\mathbf{T}})$  valued at autarky prices prevailing in 1851-53. An explanation of the assumptions underlying the approximation is contained in the text.

SOURCE. - For sources of price data, see Sec. IVB and n. 17. For rows 3 and 5, current silver yen values are converted to values of 1851-53 by deflating them with the price indices for exports and imports found in Shinbo (1978, table 5-

# HARRIGAN ON BERNHOFEN AND BROWN JPE 2004

Harrigan in Handbook chapter on Empirical Trade:

"I think I can speak for many economist who have taught this theory with great fervor when I say 'thank goodness'."